

Kalind wrote:  
Subject: Amusing!!!

Hi, It was amusing to see the supercilious posters created by Mr. Andrew and posted on your site. It is a classic case of " Look who's talking!". The British have a history of colonising various countries the world over. They spread their venom in various countries insidiously and when they had control took to marauding and pillaging the lives and property of their victims. Obviously they weren't invited to do so.

Students, techies and other professionals who are invading their country in hordes at present do so after passing their immigration requirements. Mr. Andrew should understand that it is his country which requires outside professional help and not the other way round. If he feels so strongly about this, he should probably start educating his fellow British morons to start working hard to meet this challenge head-on. After all, the colonised could one day turn in to colonisers!!!!

There are many lies and falsehoods here. Let me dispel some of them, for you:

*Falsehood 1: It was amusing to see the supercilious posters created by Mr. Andrew*

Supercilious means "having or showing arrogant superiority to" or haughty. There was nothing supercilious about the pictures that I created. They did not say, "you are not worthy to come to our country", nor did they say, "This is the best country in the world" ...I leave all that kind of braggadocio bullshit to the Americans. The posters simply stated – albeit in a humorous way – that we are full: and we are!

So please, if you are going to take the effort of responding to me, at least have enough grasp of the English language to use the right words. Jingoistic or patriotic would have been fairer descriptives I would think, and possibly (depending on your viewpoint) either justified or offensive ...but *not* supercilious

*Falsehood 2: The British have a history of colonising various countries the world over*

While this, in itself, is not a falsehood, I think that it does need putting into context.

Essentially, Britain was invaded and conquered by anything with a pulse for thousands of years. An asthmatic Albanian Goat Herder with a nasty cold could have took us out at one time, and without even the danger of him straining himself. We are an isolated small-island race, and as such never had a chance against more warlike and cosmopolitan races that had the benefit of hundreds of neighbouring wars over the years. We are called Anglo-Saxons, but even that is falsehood. The UK was invaded by both the Angles and the Saxons (and the Vikings, Teutonic Hordes, Normans, Romans, etc) left right and centre. The Celts, of which I am a full-blooded one, are the only remnant of the true ancient Britons. When you take all this into account, the UK population is probably the most diluted race on the planet, even more than America, which has by far the largest immigration population in the world, but sects within its society stay pretty much to themselves, whereas historically speaking the native UK

population was forced, through rape and pillage, to merge bloodlines with the foreign invaders so that the second generation would be pro-whatever-just-invaded-us.

The proof of this is probably Iceland, which through recent analysis and back-tracking of yDNA (tracked through male decendency through DNA found on the 'Y' chromosome), and mDNA (found in the cell material of nearly all the cells in the body in the mitochondria). The yDNA can only be passed down the paternal bloodline, and mDNA can only be passed down the maternal bloodline. It is conclusive that nearly the entire Icelandic male population is Viking, and nearly the entire Icelandic female population is Irish. You will note that the British never did any of this, and in fact through our stupid "stiff upper lip" philosophy probably went to the opposite extreme. The only thing that we ever did was colonise a country, improve its situation by building roads, schools, hospitals, railways, government, and democracy ...then we left it to it's own devices (albeit the yanks got a bit tetchy before we were ready for it). In essence, that is what the British did in each case. Of course, it wasn't just for altruistic purposes that we did it, but for monetary gain. It is important to note, however, that when we left a country to its own devices we left it a far better place than when we got there.

*Falsehood 3: They spread their venom in various countries insidiously and when they had control took to marauding and pillaging the lives and property of their victims*

As mentioned above, the British certainly did do it for expansionist purposes, and indeed did turn a pretty significant profit from the affair, but it is important to separate your overly inflammatory (and poorly thought out) statement from the facts.

The only '*marauding and pillaging*' that occurred was when a country was first encountered. This was obviously because the locals weren't too happy to hand the newcomers the keys to the city, and needed persuading. I am not for an instant condoning these actions, and I never will, but it is fairly easy to see what happened and why it happened.

However, this was always a precursor to actual colonising of the land ...you know, all the stuff from above about living and dying on the land from disease and malnutrition, spending your entire life trying to clear enough ground so that you can make the land fertile for your crops and habitable for your people, establishing trade and travel routes, schools and courts, hospitals and municipal facilities, law and order, trade and congress. Then we backed off and left the place to the inhabitants – which, by the way, are YOU because you are living there, and not US because we are still over here in blighty. If MY forefathers had done any of this then I would be buying things in dollars instead of pounds – it is not ME that should feel any shame in this issue ..it is those people whose families have been in the countries for 200 – 300 years, because THEY are the ones that took the land. The modern Briton is totally innocent of everything - the marauders stayed abroad.

It should also be noted that it wasn't just the British that did dodgy stuff to the people that you are referring to. It was the Boers (or Dutch as they are now known) that fucked up South Africa and it's neighbouring countries ...the British fought with them so that they would stop it! It was the Spanish and Portuguese that completely enslaved South America and entirely wiped out the Mayan and Inca civilisations. It was the Portuguese that tried to do the same thing to Japan (but they ended up getting their arses kicked). It was the Belgians that utterly fucked up the Congo, and of course were entirely responsible for the millions of massacres in

the mid 90's in Rwanda. The Dutch once again did their bit to decimate the Native American populations in the early days of colonisation. And let's not forget the Germans ...they too did their bit for early America, plus of course their historical genocidal tendencies in the modern era.

*Falsehood 4: Obviously they weren't invited to do so*

While this is true in most cases, it is not entirely true.

The initial move to establish trade routes in the Indian sub-continent was initially at the request of the Indian people. They knew that the British were well established, innovative, and needing external sources of raw materials due to it being a small island. The British were invited into India. So, too, in more recent times both Saudi Arabia and Kuwait were desperate for our assistance, and again invited the British into their countries to assist them.

*Falsehood 5: Students, techies and other professionals who are invading their country in hordes at present do so after passing their immigration requirements*

Don't I fucking wish!!!?

Firstly, it is not the skilled people that are invading us ...it is the unskilled. There are SOME immigrants that are skilled, but the vast majority are unskilled. Now then, you may be wondering what a HUGE influx of cheap unskilled labour will do to an economy that already has an incredibly high unemployment rate.

Officially, as at January 31<sup>st</sup> 2006, the UK 1.426 million unemployed, two and a half times the number of vacancies. However, successive UK governments over the past twenty years, desperate to get lower unemployment figures than their predecessor and stay in power, have applied numerous methods to artificially lower the levels. The true unemployment figures (including under 18's, people on sick benefit, retired, completed students, and people not on benefit, etc) is thought to be around five million!!!

Now then, according to National Statistics, there were 591,500 job vacancies in the three months to March 2004. But that was almost exactly the same level as March 2002, and equates to just 2.3 vacancies per 100 employee jobs, a rate consistent with the "churn" as people leave jobs when they move to another one, rather than evidence of unfilled long term vacancies. It is impossible to achieve zero vacancies, which would imply a static economy with no one changing jobs and no jobs being created and destroyed. So, we do not need

However, asylum seekers (i.e. **legal** aliens) only represent a small part of the total immigration landscape. Asylum applications are running in the region of between 5,000 and 7,000 a quarter, while Government estimates that there are probably 570,000 illegal immigrants in the UK. And in the first year since EU enlargement, 293,000 workers from new EU countries registered under the Workers Registration Scheme.

That's 863,000 by June 2005, plus say 6,000 (on average) legal immigrants for each of the four quarters since then, and you get a MINIMUM of 887,000 immigrants in this country that

weren't here four years ago (as with most things, you can take government estimates, and throw a couple of zeroes on it in most cases). In addition, there are the illegal immigrants that have piled in the last year too!

So, we come to the '*passing their immigration requirements*' part of Kalind's statement: clearly this is bollocks. As shown above, there are upwards of 600,000 immigrants that have gone nowhere near our immigration requirements, or even asked what they are. The government has set a level of 200,000 legal immigrants per annum (which it has exceeded), and has stated that 90% of applications will be processed inside of 24 hours! ...Now you tell me how we can get corroborating information on 180,000 immigrants inside of 24 hours of their application, and still have a vague idea of who the Hell we are letting in. There is no chance on Earth that 24 hours will give the person's medical history ...religious affiliations ...educational background ...criminal background ...military background ...or even check out the person's real name.

You are right to say *invading in hordes* though!

*Falsehood 6: Mr. Andrew should understand that it is his country which requires outside professional help and not the other way round*

I won't repeat the information above, which should be borne in mind on this one too, but add that a mass influx of unskilled labour can damage a country's economy irrevocably. Lord Richard Layard, the co-director of the Centre for Economic Performance at the London School of Economics, and the mastermind of Labour's welfare to work programme, has written: "*There is a huge amount of evidence that any increase in the number of unskilled workers lowers unskilled wages and increases the unskilled unemployment rate. If we are concerned about fairness, we ought not to ignore these facts. Employers gain from unskilled immigration. The unskilled do not.*"

It is not just the UK rather – let us look at America, which has a far larger problem in terms of numbers, but at least has the space to house them (the UK is *literally* full!). As Lord Layard implied, there is overwhelming evidence that the laws of supply and demand are not miraculously suspended when it comes to the effects of immigration on wages. Professor George Borjas of Harvard University, a Cuban refugee to the US who is widely regarded as the world's greatest immigration economist (and author of the main US government report in the last thirty years on immigration), recently conducted the most detailed study yet carried out on the impact of immigration on wages. It concluded that in the US: "*Immigration has substantially worsened the labour market opportunities faced by many native workers.*" He found that immigration in the US has reduced wages of the average worker by 3.2%, rising to 8.9% for high school dropouts. His overall conclusion was that: "*The analysis indicates that immigration lowers the wage of competing workers: a 10% increase in supply reduces wages by 3 to 4%*". There are, in essence, more people that will undercut you to get the job, meaning that when you do get one it will be at a lower wage.

It is not just the unskilled who could be affected. The Chartered Institute for Personnel and Development issued a report on April 30<sup>th</sup> 2004, warning that "Highly skilled, English-speaking job applicants from accession states could reduce pay rates. UK salaries for white-collar professionals such as lawyers and accountants could be driven down by European enlargement". In short, although only a small number, immigrant professionals will accept

lower wages than UK professionals, forcing them to emigrate to other countries ...most notably America and Australia.

*Falsehood 7: If he feels so strongly about this, he should probably start educating his fellow British morons to start working hard to meet this challenge head-on*

OH! ....how original ...Kalind shows us the true worth of his or her intelligence by a stunning display of witty repartee. British morons!!! ...Wow ...I wish I could think of something as pithy as that!

Kalind is right about educating my fellow Britons though. I think that it is very important that the British are told about this danger to society. Not only are we letting in foreign organised crime syndicates, not only must we stand back then while THEY bleed their fellow immigrants dry, not only are we letting in potential or actual terrorists, not only must we stop waving our national flag (in case it upsets the immigrants), not only must we stop referring to Christmas (in case it upsets the poor dears again), not only must we stop celebrating Easter (for the same reason as above, the poor fragile things), not only have all our rights been eroded, and not only has our national identity been killed in the bollocks, but we must now get rid of the National Anthem (it talks about repelling invaders) and even the term Briton to describe a native of this country. Talk about fucking pathetic!!!

*Falsehood 8: After all, the colonised could one day turn in to colonisers*

Hell-o-o-o-o ...did you miss the ENTIRE fucking point of the posters? ...THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT THE POSTERS WERE MOANING ABOUT!!!! We HAVE been colonised by the freeloading rabble that have invaded our shores.

I have no problem at all with political refugees, and to a lesser extent financial refugees, but what I DO object to is the British people coming off second best in every sphere of modern life because of them. A country must move with the times, and if the times dictate that a global and cosmopolitan population is the norm then so be it.

I welcome the multi-cultural extravaganza that is to come. But let me ask you this ...if I emigrated to Saudi Arabia should I insist that I can drink alcohol there because I do so at home? ...If I went to Australia, should I insist that they change to Pounds Sterling cops I am more used to it? ...If I moved to America should I insist that all of their children stop reciting the Pledge Of Allegiance every morning at school because it emphasises my separation due to my differing nationality? ...if I went to Iran for a holiday is it okay for me to ask their Imams to stop shouting the prayers from the Mosques' minuets because it reminds me that I am not in a Christian country? ...Should I insist, where I to start living in France, that people stopped eating garlic because it didn't smell too good when I spoke to them?

You know the answer to these questions as well as I do, but every single one of them is a paraphrase of what is expected of the British ...and we seem to be allowing it an acceding to

their ridiculous demands!!! That is why the British people have had enough. The British Nationalist Party DOUBLED the number of council seats that they held in last week's elections. I wonder why this is? ...I mean, five years ago there wasn't one single MP or Councillor that represented a far-right party ...and now we've got loads of them. It has nothing to do with black people ...or Chinese people ...or even Asians or Muslims ...it is to do with the British way of life having to bend til it snaps.

It is not supercilious to want to protect your homeland, your family, your livelihood, your culture, and your very way of life. It isn't even patriotic or jingoistic ...it is just natural. There isn't a living thing on the planet, from the humblest bacteria to the great apes or humans that will not do the same.

...and before you start all this racist crap (about me being one) you should have noticed, as I would expect anyone with a brain to have done, that in the picture of the White Cliffs Of Dover, the flag on the back of the boat is .....French! ...so you can ditch all the religionist and colourist crap for a start – they are predominantly a white Christian country.

All facts and figures are freely available on the internet if you wish to check them yourself, and I have obtained them from several sources, including The Office For National Statistics; CIVITAS, an independent government think tank; The CIA World Fact Book; *The Human Story*, by Professor Robin Dunbar, published by Faber & Faber; *Seven Daughters Of Eve* and *Adam's Curse*, both by Professor Bryan Sykes, both published by Corgi Science Series; *Guns, Germs And Steel*, by Jared Diamond, published by Vintage Science; and several web sources.

CIVITAS, in particular, were quite helpful when I spoke to them, and their official response to Tony Blair's speech on immigration is well worth reading. You can find it at <http://www.civitas.org.uk/pdf/BrowneEconomicsImmigration.pdf>

Finally, just to re-iterate the point, I will say it words of one syllable so that everyone can get the message:

**FUCK OFF – WE ARE FULL...!!!**